# DESIGN OF GAUSSIAN NORMAL AND POLYNOMIAL BASIS MULTIPLIERS OVER GF( $\mathbf{2}^{163}$ ) 

Vladimir Trujillo-Olaya, Jaime Velasco-Medina, Julio C. López-Hernández*

Grupo de Bionanoelectrónica, Escuela EIEE, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia

* Instituto de computacao, UNICAMP, Campinas, Brasil

E-mail: vlatruo@univalle.edu.co, jvelasco@univalle.edu.co, jlopez@ic.unicamp.br


#### Abstract

This article address efficient hardware implementations for multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. Hardware implementations of multiplication algorithms are suitable for elliptic curve cryptoprocessor designs, which allow that elliptic curve based cryptosystems implemented in hardware provide more physical security and higher speed than software implementations. In this case, the multipliers were designed using conventional, modified and fast multiplication algorithms, the synthesis and simulation were carried out using Quartus II v.5.0 of Altera, and the designs were synthesized on the Stratix II EP2S60F1020C3. The simulation results show that the multipliers designed present a very good performance using small area.


# DESIGN OF GAUSSIAN NORMAL AND POLYNOMIAL BASIS MULTIPLIERS OVER GF( $\mathbf{2}^{163}$ ) 

Vladimir Trujillo-Olaya, Jaime Velasco-Medina, Julio C. López-Hernández*

Grupo de Bionanoelectrónica, Escuela EIEE, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia<br>* Instituto de computacao, UNICAMP, Campinas, Brasil<br>E-mail: vlatruo@univalle.edu.co, jvelasco@univalle.edu.co, jlopez@ic.unicamp.br


#### Abstract

This article address efficient hardware implementations for multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. Hardware implementations of multiplication algorithms are suitable for elliptic curve cryptoprocessor designs, which allow that elliptic curve based cryptosystems implemented in hardware provide more physical security and higher speed than software implementations. In this case, the multipliers were designed using conventional, modified and fast multiplication algorithms, the synthesis and simulation were carried out using Quartus II v.5.0 of Altera, and the designs were synthesized on the Stratix II EP2S60F1020C3. The simulation results show that the multipliers designed present a very good performance using small area.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

In order to protect or exchange confidential data, the cryptography plays an important role in the security of the information. Therefore, it is necessary to implement efficient cryptosystems, which can support applications economically feasible. In this context, public key cryptography based on elliptic curves is widely used because it presents higher security per key bit, and their two main applications are the private key exchange and the digital signature. Additionally, the Elliptic Curve Cryptosystems (ECC) can be used in applications where the computation resources are limited such as smart cards and cellular telephones. The ECC systems are included in the NIST and ANSI standards, and the principal advantage over other systems of public key like RSA is the size of the parameters, which are very small, however the ECC systems provide the same level of computational security.

On the other hand, the algorithms used for elliptic curve cryptosystems are divided hierarchically into three levels. The arithmetic level, the group operation level and the encryption level. Therefore, in order to achieve efficient hardware implementations it is evident to reach the best algorithm optimization for each level. However,
it is import to mention that the most expensive operation applied in elliptic curve based cryptosystems is the "scalar multiplication" of a large natural number with a point on an elliptic curve [1]. In this case, the performance of an elliptic curve cryptoprocessor depends on the multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. Therefore, the multiplier is the most important functional block for elliptic curve cryptoprocessor design.

In the literature are presented few hardware designs for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over GF ( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ). In [2], V. Trujillo, J. Velasco and J. C. López present the hardware design for the Gaussian normal multiplier over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. In [3], Elia and Leone present a theoretic study about a general algorithm to design fast parallel multipliers in any basis over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. The algorithm has been applied to gaussian normal basis multiplication over small galois field $\left(\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{73}\right)\right)$. However, for $\mathrm{m}>73$ is not considered. Additionally, the total number of gates (XOR and AND) is not guaranteed to be the minimum. In this case, the algorithm is aimed at minimizing the number of XOR gates. In [4], Hua Li and Chang N. Zhang present an algorithm to design a low complexity programmable cellular automata based versatile modular multiplier over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.

This work address efficient hardware implementations for gaussian normal basis and polynomial basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. In this case, the multipliers designed present a good speed/area ratio, which is very suitable for elliptic curve cryptoprocessor design. Therefore, elliptic curve based cryptosystems can be used in applications that require small area, good speed and low consumption power, such as smart cards and cellular telephones.

This article is organized as follows. Initially, sections 2,3 and 4 present the basic concepts on $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ arithmetic and algorithms for gaussian normal basis and polynomial basis multiplication over $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$. In section 5, the hardware architectures for the multipliers are described. In section 6, the simulation results are presented. Finally, section 7 presents the conclusions and the future work.

## 2. GF( $\mathbf{2}^{m}$ ) ARITHMETIC

### 2.1 Concepts on gaussian normal basis

Normal Basis representations of an element in the finite field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$ have the computational advantage that squaring an element can be done very efficiently. However multiplying distinct elements, can be cumbersome in general for this reason, ANSI X9.62 specifies that Gaussian Normal Basis be used, for which multiplication is both simpler and more efficient [5].

A normal basis for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ is as follows:

$$
\left\{\beta, \beta^{2}, \beta^{2^{2}}, \ldots, \beta^{2^{m-1}}\right\}, \text { where } \beta \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)
$$

where, any element $\alpha \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ can be written as follows:

$$
a=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i} \beta^{2^{i}} \text { where } a_{i} \in\{0,1\}
$$

The type $T$ of a Gaussian Normal Basis (GNB) is a positive integer, measuring the complexity of the multiplication operation with respect to that basis. Generally, the type $T$ of smaller value allows to make a more efficient multiplication. For a given $m$ and $T$, the field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ can have at most one GNB of type $T$.

A GNB exists whenever $m$ is not divisible by 8 . Let $m$ be a positive integer and let $T$ be a positive integer. Then the type $T$ of a GNB for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ exists if and only if $p=T m+1$ is prime.

If $\left\{\beta, \beta^{2}, \beta^{2^{2}}, \ldots, \beta^{2^{m-1}}\right\}$ is a Gaussian Normal Basis in the finite field $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{m}\right)$, then the element $a=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i} \beta^{2^{i}}$ is represented by the binary string $\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . a_{m-1}\right)$ where $a_{i} \in\{0,1\}$

In this case, the multiplicative identity element is represented by the bit string of all 1 's. While the additive identity element is represented by the bit string of all 0 's.

An important result for the arithmetic of the Gaussian Normal Basis is the Fermat's Theorem. For all $\beta \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ so that:

$$
\beta^{2^{m}}=\beta
$$

This theorem is important to carry out the squaring of an element in the finite field $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.

### 2.2 GF( $\left.2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ arithmetic for gaussian normal basis

The following arithmetic operations are defined on the elements of GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ when using a GNB of type $T$ :

If $a=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . a_{m-1}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m-1}\right)$ are elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, then $a+b=c=\left(c_{0} c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{m-1}\right)$ where $c_{i}=\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right) \bmod 2$.

## - Squaring:

Let $a=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . . a_{m-1}\right) \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i} \beta^{2^{i}}\right)^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i} \beta^{2^{i+1}}=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_{i-1} \beta^{2^{i}} \text { due to Fermat's }
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem; $\beta^{2^{m}}=\beta$, then

$$
a^{2}=\left(a_{m-1} a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . a_{m-2}\right)
$$

In this case, squaring is a simple rotation of the vector representation.

## - Multiplication:

Let $p=T m+1$ and let $u \in \operatorname{GF}(p)$ be an element of order $T$. Define the sequence $J(1), J(2), \ldots, J(p-1)$ by: $J\left(2^{i} u^{j} \bmod p\right)=i$, for $i$ from 0 until $m-1$ and $j$ from 0 until T-1.

If $a=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . a_{m-1}\right)$ and
$b=\left(b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m-1}\right)$ are elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, then
$a \bullet b=c=\left(c_{0} c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{m-1}\right)$, where
$c_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} a_{J(k+1)} \bullet b_{J(p-k)}$

## - Inversion:

If $a \neq 0$ and $a \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, the inverse of $a$, is the unique element $c \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ for which $a \bullet c=1\left(c=a^{-1}\right)$.

The algorithm used for inversion is based on the identity:

$$
a^{-1}=a^{2^{m}-2}=\left(a^{2^{m-1}-1}\right)^{2}
$$

In [6], Itoh and Tsujii proposed a method that minimizes the number of multiplications to calculate the inversion, which is based on the following identities:
$a^{2^{m-1}-1}= \begin{cases}\left(a^{2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1}\right)^{2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}} \bullet a^{2^{\frac{m-1}{2}}-1} & m \text { odd } \\ a \bullet\left(a^{2^{m-2}-1}\right)^{2} & m \text { even }\end{cases}$

### 2.3 Concepts on polynomial basis

This basis is also known as the canonical or standard basis, is defined as the set $\left\{1, \alpha, \alpha^{2}, \ldots, \alpha^{\mathrm{m}-1}\right\}$ where $\alpha$ is a root of the irreducible polynomial $f(x)$. Where $f(x)=x^{m}+f_{m-1} x^{m-1}+\ldots f_{2} x^{2}+f_{1} x+f_{0}\left(f_{i} \in\{0,1\}\right)$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree $m$ over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ [4].

### 2.4 GF( $2^{\mathrm{m}}$ ) arithmetic for polynomial basis

The following arithmetic operations are defined on the elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, using a polynomial basis representation with reduction polynomial $f(x)$.

## - Addition:

If $a=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . . a_{m-1}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m-1}\right)$ are elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, then $a+b=c=\left(c_{0} c_{1} c_{2} \ldots c_{m-1}\right)$ where $c_{i}=\left(a_{i}+b_{i}\right) \bmod 2$

## - Multiplication:

If $a=\left(a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \ldots . . a_{m-1}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{0} b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{m-1}\right)$ are elements of $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, then $a^{*} b=r=\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{m}-2} \ldots \mathrm{r}_{1} \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)$ where the polynomial $r(x)$ is the remainder when the polynomial $a(x) * b(x)$ is divided by $f(x)$ [4].

## - Inversion:

If $a \neq 0$ and $a \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, the inverse of $a$, is the unique element $c \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ for which $a \bullet c=1\left(c=a^{-1}\right)$.

## 3. ALGORITHMS FOR GAUSSIAN NORMAL BASIS MULTIPLICATION OVER GF( $2^{\text {m }}$ )

In [5], NIST presents a conventional algorithm for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, which is shown in Figure 1. In this case, an algorithm is used to generate the $\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{k})$ subindexes for the $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ array, which is shown in Figure 2.

In [7], Lopez presents a modified conventional algorithm for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, which is implemented in software and shown in Figure 3, and the values generated for the function p 1 are shown in Figure 4.
The parameters recommended by NIST for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$ are:
$\mathrm{m}=163$, number of bits
$\mathrm{T}=4$, number recommended by NIST for $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$
$\mathrm{p}=653$, prime number $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{Tm}+1$
$\mathrm{U}=149$, number that satisfies the relation $\mathrm{U}^{4} \bmod \mathrm{p}=1$

## CONVENTIONAL ALGORITHM:

 GNB MULTIPLICATION OVER GF ( $2^{\text {m }}$ )Input: $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right) \quad$ Output: $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a} \cdot \mathrm{b} \in \operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$

1. $\mathrm{U} \leftarrow \mathrm{a}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{0}, \mathrm{a}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}-1}\right)$
2. $\mathrm{V} \leftarrow \mathrm{b}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{0}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{l}}\right)$
3. For $\mathrm{k}=0$ to $\mathrm{m}-1$ do
4. $\mathrm{c}_{(\mathrm{k})}=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$
5. $U=$ left rotation of $U$
6. $\quad \mathrm{V}=$ left rotation of V
7. End
8. $\mathrm{c} \leftarrow=\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}, \mathrm{c}_{1}, \ldots \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}-1}\right)=$ a.b
where:
$F(U, V)=\sum_{k=1}^{p-2} U_{J(k+1)} V_{J(k)}$
Figure 1: Conventional algorithm for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$
```
ALGORITHM: J(k) GENERATION FOR F(U, V)
Input: \(\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{U}, \mathrm{p} \quad\) Output: \(\mathrm{J}(1), \mathrm{J}(2), \ldots, . \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{p}-1)\)
    \(\mathrm{w} \leftarrow 1\)
    For \(\mathrm{j}=0\) to \(\mathrm{T}-1\) do
        \(\mathrm{n} \leftarrow \mathrm{w}\)
                For \(\mathrm{i}=0\) to \(\mathrm{m}-1\) do
                \(\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{n}) \leftarrow \mathrm{i}\)
                \(\mathrm{n} \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{n} \bmod \mathrm{p}\)
                End
            \(\mathrm{w} \leftarrow \mathrm{UW} \bmod \mathrm{p}\)
    End
```

Figure 2: $J(k)$ generation for $F(U, V)$

```
MODIFIED ALGORITHM:
GNB MULTIPLICATION OVER GF(2m
Let A and B finite field elements in GF(2 }\mp@subsup{}{}{163}\mathrm{ )
Input: A, B in GF(2m}
Output: C = A.B
1. T= B}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}(\operatorname{Rot_right}(B,1)
2. C=0
3. For i=m-1 to 0 do
    3.1 C = C }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}(\textrm{C}=\operatorname{Rot_right(C,1))
    3.2 if }\mp@subsup{\textrm{a}}{\textrm{i}}{}=1\mathrm{ then C=C xor mbeta(T)
    3.3 T= T}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}(T=Rot_right (T,1)
    End
4. Return C = A. B
```




```
T(p3)=[T, T
T(p4) = [0, T, Tp4 (1), Tp4 (2), Tp4 (3), \ldots, , Tp4 (162)]
Then
```

    \(\operatorname{mbeta}(\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p} 1)\) xor \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p} 2)\) xor \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p} 3)\) xor \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{p} 4)\)
    Figure 3: Modified conventional algorithm for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over $G F\left(2^{m}\right)$

```
The functions p1, p2, p3, p4 are: pi[j], j=1,2,3,\ldots162
p1[] ={0,117,89,99,121,160,90,139,93,35,154,131,82,111,121,64,
    55,136,72,9,7,45,43,32,153,84,33,76,135,56,114,31,
    85,134,29,101,61,41,141,4,105,57,147,113,148,97,28,72,
    80,81,13,130,153,11,144,75,106,137,16,112,27,98,58,68,
    104,108,44,22,150,79,3,40,71,19,145,62,50,54,17,73,
    94,132,159,154,25,30,115,65,118,152,25,133,34,74,92,140,
    42,23,90,110,14,129,78,69,5,49,77,103,123,91,2,125,
    148,142,161,158,1,145,151,143,100,84,67,37,3,87,120,15,
    59,138,155,95,26,6,21,86,107,60,102,20,46,149,152,53,
    2,71,48,106,40,38,146,119,127,157,116,51,128,100,36,122,
    109,12}
```

Figure 4: Pi(j) generation for pl function
In [3], Elia and Leone present an algorithm to design fast parallel multipliers , This work present the modified algorithm which consists of two steps which are shown in Figures 5 and 6.

## FAST MULTIPLIER ALGORITHM: STEP 1 COMPUTATION OF TENSOR $\tau$

Input: a normal polynomial $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{c}_{0}+\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{t}+\ldots+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}-1} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{m}-1}$ $+\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{m}}$ over GF $\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.
Output: associated tensor $\tau$.

1. Compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\mathrm{a}_{0,0}+\mathrm{a}_{0,1} t+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{0, \mathrm{~m}-1} t^{\mathrm{m}-1} \\
& t^{2}=\mathrm{a}_{1,0}+\mathrm{a}_{1,1} t+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{1, \mathrm{~m}-1} t^{\mathrm{m}-1} \\
& t^{4}=\mathrm{a}_{2,0}+\mathrm{a}_{2,1} t+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{2, \mathrm{~m}-1} t^{\mathrm{m}-1} \\
& \ldots \\
& t^{2^{m-1}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}-1,0}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}-1,1} t+\ldots+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}-1, \mathrm{~m}-1} t^{\mathrm{m}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Set:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0,0} & a_{0,1} & \ldots & a_{0, m-1} \\
a_{1,0} & a_{1,1} & \ldots & 1, m-1 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
a_{m-1,0} & a_{m-1,1} & \ldots & a_{m-1, m-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

3. Compute:

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{A}^{-1}
$$

4. Set:
$C=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\ \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\ c_{0} & c_{1} & c_{2} & \ldots & c_{m-1}\end{array}\right)$
5. Compute:

$$
\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{A C B}
$$

6. Set:

$$
\mathbf{E}=\mathbf{D}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

7. Set:

$$
\tau=\left\lfloor E, E^{(1,1)}, E^{(2,2)}, \ldots, E^{(m-1, m-1)}\right\rfloor
$$

8. Output $\tau$.

Figure 5: Computation of tensor $\tau$

## FAST MULTIPLIER ALGORITHM: STEP 2 REDUCTION

Input: tensor $\tau$, vector $\mathbf{Z}$.
Output: reduced tensor $\tau$ and modified vector $\mathbf{Z}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}-1 \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{i}-1 \\
& \text { if } \mathbf{g}_{f(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})}=\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})} \\
& \text { Set } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j})}=\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j})}+\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})} \\
& \text { Set } \mathbf{g}_{f(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i})}=\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{i})}+\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})} \\
& \text { Set } Z_{f(i, j)}=\left(a_{i}+a_{j}\right)\left(b_{i}+b_{j}\right) \\
& \text { Set } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j})}=0 \\
& \text { Set } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j})}=0 \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{i}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}^{2}-2 \\
& \text { if } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \text { then continue } \\
& \text { For } j=i+1, \ldots, m^{2}-1 \text { do } \\
& \text { if } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { then } \\
& \text { Set } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}} \\
& \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{j}}=0 \\
& \text { Set } \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{j}}=0 \\
& \text { For } i=0,1, \ldots, m^{2}-1 \\
& \text { if } \mathbf{g}_{i}=0 \text { then continue } \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1 \text { and } \mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j} \text { do } \\
& \text { if } \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}<\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { then continue } \\
& \text { else if } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { is include in } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{j}} \text { then } \\
& \operatorname{Set} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}}=\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}}+\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \text { Set } Z_{i}=Z_{i}+Z_{j} \\
& \text { For } \mathrm{j}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1 \\
& \text { 24. if } \mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 \text { or } \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}<3 \text { then continue } \\
& \text { 25. For } \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}+1, \ldots, \mathrm{~m}^{2}-1 \text { do } \\
& \text { 26. select superimposed columns } \\
& \text { 27. } \quad \text { For } j=i, i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots i_{k} \\
& \text { 28. Set to zero superimsed entries of } \mathbf{g}_{j} \\
& \text { 29. Add a new column to } \tau \\
& \text { 30. Add a new entry to } \mathrm{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

31. Output $\tau$ and $Z$

Figure 6: Reduction of tensor $\tau$ and $Z$

## 4. ALGORITHMS FOR POLYNOMIAL BASIS MULTIPLICATION OVER GF( $2^{m}$ )

In [7], Hua Li and Chang N. Zhang present an algorithm to design a low complexity programmable cellular automata based versatile modular multiplier over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$.

This algorithm is shown in Figure 7. where $C(x)=A(x) B(x) \bmod P(x)$ can be written as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x)= & {\left[\left(\ldots\left(\left(0+a_{m-1} B(x)\right) x+a_{m-2} B(x)\right) x+\ldots+a_{1} B(x)\right) x\right.} \\
& \left.+a_{0} B(x)\right] \bmod P(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## ALGORITHM: <br> POLYNOMIAL BASIS MULTIPLICATION OVER GF( $2^{\text {m }}$ )

1. $\quad \mathrm{C}^{-1}(\mathrm{X})=0$
2. for $\mathrm{I}=0$ to $\mathrm{m}-1$ do

$$
C^{i}(x)=\left[C^{i-1}(x) x+a_{m-1-i} B /(x)\right] \bmod P(x)
$$

where:
$C^{i}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_{j}{ }^{i} * x^{j}$
Figure 7: Algorithm for Polynomial basis multiplication

## 5. HARDWARE ARCHITECTURES FOR GF( $\mathbf{2}^{163}$ ) MULTIPLIER

In this section are presented the hardware architectures for gaussian normal basis and polynomial basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. In this case, conventional and modified algorithms for the gaussian normal basis multiplication and polynomial multiplication over $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$ and fast parallel multiplier algorithm over $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{97}\right)$ are implemented.

### 5.1. Conventional algorithm based multipliers

In order to achieve a good performance for hardware multipliers based on the conventional algorithm [2], several $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ arrays can be used, which allow to speedup the multiplication. The hardware architecture
for the gaussian normal basis multiplier based on using 4 $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ arrays is shown in Figure 7.

In this architecture, the $F(U, V)$ functional block allows to generate the subindexes that determine the order of combination of each bit of the two input data of the multiplier and it is implemented using XOR and AND functions. In this case, the $F(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ array is designed using a combinational circuit, which is implemented using the following equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{0}= & \left(\mathrm{U}_{0} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{0}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{117}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{13}\right) \oplus \\
& \left(\mathrm{U}_{102} \mathrm{~V}_{110}\right) \oplus \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . \\
& \left(\mathrm{U}_{97} \mathrm{~V}_{149}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{97} \mathrm{~V}_{42}\right) \oplus \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \\
& \left(\mathrm{U}_{99} \mathrm{~V}_{115}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{99} \mathrm{~V}_{4}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{99} \mathrm{~V}_{58}\right) \oplus\left(\mathrm{U}_{99} \mathrm{~V}_{90}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The barrel shifter functional blocks allow to rotate and shift the input data U and V for the multiplier, and the serial-in/parallel-out register allows to storage the bit $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$, which is generated from the $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ array, thus, this register stores the product $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} . \mathrm{B}$. The control unit is implemented using a FSM, which allows to control the I/O registers, generate the control sequences and initialize the multiplication.

### 5.2. Modified conventional algorithm based multipliers

The hardware architecture for the gaussian normal basis multiplier based on modified conventional algorithm (presented in [5]) is shown in Figure 8.


Figure 7: Hardware architecture for $G F\left(2^{163}\right)$ multiplier based on conventional algorithm using $4 F(U, V)$


Figure 8: Hardware architecture for $G F\left(2^{163}\right)$ multiplier based on modified conventional algorithm

### 5.3. Fast parallel multiplier

The hardware architecture for the modified fast parallel multiplier algorithm for $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{97}\right)$ is presented in Figure 9. In this case, the hardware architecture uses a new array which uses XOR and AND functions. By the moment, simulations results are obtained for the finite field multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{101}\right)$, however for the case $\mathrm{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$, the Quartus II presents problems of fitting.

### 5.4. Polynomial basis multiplier

The architecture of a PCA cell is shown in Figure 10. Where $C m$ is configured as the coefficients of $B(x)$ and Cr is configured as the coefficients of $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})$, Xs is configured as coefficients of $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{Xl}$ and Xs are partial results of neighborhood PCA.

This work present an architecture modular multiplier based on PCA (Programmable Cellular Automata) and the polynomial basis representation, the basic
architecture of the multiplier is suitable for both parallel and serial multiplier.


Figure 10: $P C A$ cell
An array of PCA cells determine the architecture of serial multiplier $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{4}\right)$ shown in Figure 11 or parallel multiplier shown in Figure 12.


Figure 11: Serial multiplier in $G F\left(2^{4}\right)$


Figure 12: Parallel multiplier in $G F\left(2^{4}\right)$

## 6. SIMULATION RESULTS

In order to verify the performance of the multipliers, several simulations were carried out. The simulation results for hardware implementations are shown in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4. The multipliers are implemented on the FPGA EP2S60F1020C3, and the simulation and synthesis were carried out using Quartus II version 4.0.

| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ | Logic <br> elements | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MAX }}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Tend ( $\mu \mathrm{s})$ using <br> CLK $=10 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 974 | 128.34 | 1.704 |
| 4 | 2760 | 123.24 | 0.500 |
| 8 | 5144 | 113.00 | 0.300 |
| 16 | 9912 | 108.44 | 0.200 |

Table 1: Simulation results for the conventional algorithm based multiplier

| mbeta(T) | Logic <br> elements | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MAX }}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mu \mathrm{s})$ <br> CLK $=10 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1249 | 178.13 | 1.67 |
| 4 | 1295 | 232.4 | 0.532 |

Table 2: Simulation results for the modified conventional algorithm based multiplier

As could be observed from Tables 1 and 2, the multipliers designed present a good performance using small area, which is very suitable for elliptic curve
cryptoprocessor design. In Table 3 are presented the simulation results for the conventional algorithm based multiplier using pipeline architecture. In table 4 are presented the simulation results for polynomial multipliers.

| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{V})$ <br> Pipeline 2 | Logic <br> elements | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MAX}}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Tend ( $\mu \mathrm{s})$ using <br> CLK = 10ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1292 | 276.55 | 1.72 |
| 4 | 3209 | 292.74 | 0.495 |
| 8 | 6671 | 189.57 | 0.296 |
| 16 | 12973 | 163.21 | 0.196 |


| F(U,V) <br> Pipeline 4 | Logic <br> elements | $\mathrm{F}_{\text {MAX }}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | Tend ( $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ) using <br> CLK = 10ns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1269 | 386.12 | 1.74 |
| 4 | 3437 | 284.98 | 0.517 |
| 8 | 6465 | 220.51 | 0.316 |
| 16 | 12575 | 184.09 | 0.217 |

Table 3: Simulation results for the conventional algorithm based multiplier using pipeline architecture

| Multiplier | Logic <br> elements | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{MAX}}(\mathrm{MHz})$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mu \mathrm{s})$ <br> $\mathrm{CLK}=10 \mathrm{~ns}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Serial | 163 | 215.8 | 1.67 |
| Parallel | 26569 | 4.6 | 0.02 |

Table 4: Simulation results for the serial and parallel multiplier


Figure 9: Hardware architecture for $G F\left(2^{97}\right)$ multiplier based on parallel multiplier algorithm

## 7. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This article presents the design of efficient hardware implementations for the gaussian normal and polynomial basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$. In this case, the multipliers are designed using conventional, modified and parallel multiplier algorithms for the multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$.

The multipliers present a good speed/area ratio, which is very suitable for elliptic curve cryptoprocessor design, this allows that elliptic curve based cryptosystems can support applications economically feasible such as smart cards and cellular telephones.

The multipliers were simulated using Quartus II of Altera and synthesized on the FPGA EP2S60F1020C3.

The future work, will be oriented to design hardware multipliers for the gaussian normal basis multiplication over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{233}\right)$, design a fast parallel multiplier over $\operatorname{GF}\left(2^{163}\right)$ and to implement new multiplication algorithms.
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